IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATE: 16.4.2005

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.MARKANDEY KATJU, THE CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE F.M.IBRAHIM KALIFULLA

W.A. No. 2807 of 2003

Senniyappan

Appellant(Petitioner)

Vs.

1. The Deputy Tahsildar,
   Palladam.

2. The Village Administrative Officer,
   Karumathampatti village,
   Palladam (PO), Coimbatore District.

3.Ramathal

Respondents

Prayer: Appeal under clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the order of this Court made in W.P.No.18458 of 2003 dated 4.7.2003.

For Appellant :: Mrs.P.Bagyalakshmi

For Respondents :: Mr.V.Raghupathi, Govt. Pleader
1 and 2

For Respondent :: Mr.K.Ravi for M/s.Rugan & Arya
No.3

WEB COPY

JUDGMENT

(Judgment of the Court was delivered by
The Hon'ble Chief Justice.)

This writ appeal has been filed against the impugned judgment of the learned single Judge dated 4.7.2003.

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

2. The writ petitioner/appellant had challenged grant of patta to the third respondent. It is well settled that entries in the revenue records do not create or extinguish title nor have they any presumptive value vide M.T.W.Tenzing Namgyal & Ors. v. Motilal Lakhotia & Ors., JT 2003(5) SC 173, Balwant Singh v. Daulat Singh, (1997)7 SCC 137 and Smt.Sawarni v. Smt.Inder Kaur and others, 1996 (7) JT S.C. 580. Such entries are only for the purpose of payment of land revenue. The above Supreme Court decisions have been followed by us in the case of M.E.A.Mohamed Ali and others v. The District Revenue Officer, Ramanathapuram and others in W.A. No.546 of 2005 by order dated 16.3.2005. In view of the same there is no merit in the writ appeal and it is dismissed. No costs.

Sd/
Asst.Registrar

/true copy/

Sub Asst.Registrar

ns.

To

1. The Deputy Tahsildar,
   Palladam.

2. The Village Administrative Officer,
   Karumathampatti village,
   Palladam (PO), Coimbatore District.

+1cc to Mr.Rugan & Arya, Advocate Sr 17988

KSJ (CO)
km/26.4.

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/